



DOE's EGS Program Review

Roadmapping

- ❖ Clay Nichols
- ❖ Retired; DOE ID
- ❖ 208-946-0333
- ❖ cnichols@nctv.com

July 18, 2006

Marriott Hotel
Golden, CO



GTP/EGS Roadmapping Objective

- ❖ GTP/EGS roadmapping defines a technical pathway for the Program
- ❖ The roadmapping process strengthens team effectiveness
- ❖ The completed roadmap communicates a shared vision for the Program



Background :

GTP/EGS Roadmapping Effort

- ❖ Approach popularized by Bob Galvin
- ❖ Science and technology roadmapping is a tool for focusing on priorities and defining the critical path for achieving challenging S & T objectives
- ❖ Budget concerns and technical challenges combine to favor a roadmapping approach
- ❖ What technical issue does the project address?
- ❖ See EGS Technical Challenges and Barriers in Draft GTP Multiyear Plan:
http://www1.eere.energy.gov/geothermal/program_review.html
- ❖ How will project help to achieve overall program goals?



The GTP/ EGS Roadmapping Effort

Approach

- ❖ Core Team/Surveys
- ❖ Internet conferencing
- ❖ Stanford workshop
- ❖ Review/Revisions



Results/Accomplishments

Pathways/Technologies Considered

- ❖ Site characterization
- ❖ Wellfield construction and management
- ❖ Resource management
- ❖ Productivity/permeability enhancement
- ❖ Energy conversion



Results/Accomplishments

- ❖ 58 milestones identified supporting these five major technology groupings
- ❖ Summarized in GTP/EGS Program milestones graphic; (Fig. 3-2) and Milestone explanatory notes



Application to EGS Planning

Focus of roadmapping EGS planning

- ❖ Site Characterization
- ❖ Resource management
- ❖ Productivity/permeability enhancement



Technical Workgroup Approach

- ❖ Each Technical Workgroup should identify what is required to reduce risk and improve knowledge relevant to its technical scope
- ❖ The identified tasks should be addressed in two time categories:
 - Near term-during the next 30 months (January, 2009)
 - Long term-Post January,2009



Workgroup Approach (Cont'd)

- ❖ Select pathways for detailed planning based on personal knowledge, EGS Feasibility Study and Roadmap recommendations.
- ❖ For each of the risk-reducing technologies selected, what is the objective? (By year x, what capability needs to be developed to achieve the programmatic goals in each of these three sub-elements?)
- ❖ What is the status of the technology supporting this pathway?
- ❖ What are the technical achievements required to accomplish the programmatic goals for this pathway?



Conclusion

- ❖ Roadmapping provides a logical approach for planning implementation of EGS Capabilities development
- ❖ Draft GTP/EGS Roadmapping and EGS Feasibility Study provide starting points for consideration of capabilities required to reduce risk
- ❖ Cross-disciplinary composition of workgroup teams encourages barrier identification and creative solutions